Introduced by Assembly Member Villines

February 26, 2009

An act to amend Sections 17553, 17557, 17557.2, and 17558 of, and to add Article 1.6 (commencing with Section 17574.6) to Chapter 4 of Part 7 of Division 4 of Title 2 of, the Government Code, relating to state-mandated costs.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 844, as introduced, Villines. State-mandated local programs: school districts.

Under the California Constitution, whenever the Legislature or a state agency mandates a new program or higher level of service on any local government, including school districts, the state is required to provide a subvention of funds to reimburse the local government, with specified exceptions. Existing law establishes a test claim procedure for local governmental agencies to file claims for reimbursement of these costs with the Commission on State Mandates.

This bill would prohibit school districts from using the test claims provisions on and after January 1, 2010, and would instead require the commission to determine, with regard to any statute enacted on or after January 1, 2010, whether that statute imposes a mandate on school districts for which reimbursement is required by the California Constitution, and whether there is an annual appropriation made that funds the mandate's annual statewide estimated costs, as adopted by the commission. The bill would require the commission, if it determines a statute imposes that mandate on school districts, and there is no annual appropriation for that cost, to issue an order authorizing a school district

AB 844 — 2 —

3

4

6

8

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

to not comply with those provisions of the statute that impose the mandate.

The bill would also require the commission to examine all statutes that were enacted on or after January 1, 1975, and not subject to the procedure specified above, and make that same determination. The commission would be required to issue a determination allowing a school district to not comply with the requirements of that statute that imposes the mandate, in a accordance with a specified schedule.

The bill would also specify a procedure for the commission to review these determinations when there is an annual appropriation and would require the commission to issue an order, under specified circumstances. The commission would be required to submit a copy of all findings and orders made pursuant to this bill to the appropriate committees of the Legislature.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 17553 of the Government Code is 2 amended to read:
 - 17553. (a) The commission shall adopt procedures for receiving claims filed pursuant to this article and Section 17574 and for providing a hearing on those claims. The procedures shall do all of the following:
 - (1) Provide for presentation of evidence by the claimant, the Department of Finance, and any other affected department or agency, and any other interested person.
 - (2) Ensure that a statewide cost estimate is adopted within 12 months after receipt of a test claim, when a determination is made by the commission that a mandate exists. This deadline may be extended for up to six months upon the request of either the claimant or the commission.
 - (3) Permit the hearing of a claim to be postponed at the request of the claimant, without prejudice, until the next scheduled hearing.
 - (b) All test claims shall be filed on a form prescribed by the commission and shall contain at least the following elements and documents:
- 20 (1) A written narrative that identifies the specific sections of statutes or executive orders and the effective date and register

-3-**AB 844**

number of regulations alleged to contain a mandate and shall include all of the following:

- (A) A detailed description of the new activities and costs that arise from the mandate.
- (B) A detailed description of existing activities and costs that are modified by the mandate.
- (C) The actual increased costs incurred by the claimant during the fiscal year for which the claim was filed to implement the alleged mandate.
- (D) The actual or estimated annual costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim
- (E) A statewide cost estimate of increased costs that all local agencies or school districts will incur to implement the alleged mandate during the fiscal year immediately following the fiscal year for which the claim was filed.
 - (F) Identification of all of the following:

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35 36

37

38

- (i) Dedicated state funds appropriated for this program.
- (ii) Dedicated federal funds appropriated for this program.
- (iii) Other nonlocal agency funds dedicated for this program.
- (iv) The local agency's general purpose funds for this program.
- (v) Fee authority to offset the costs of this program.
- (G) Identification of prior mandate determinations made by the Commission on State Mandates or a predecessor agency that may be related to the alleged mandate.
- (H) Identification of a legislatively determined mandate pursuant to Section 17573 that is on the same statute or executive order.
- (2) The written narrative shall be supported with declarations under penalty of perjury, based on the declarant's personal knowledge, information, or belief, and signed by persons who are authorized and competent to do so, as follows:
- (A) Declarations of actual or estimated increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate.
- (B) Declarations identifying all local, state, or federal funds, or fee authority that may be used to offset the increased costs that will be incurred by the claimant to implement the alleged mandate, including direct and indirect costs.
- (C) Declarations describing new activities performed to 40 implement specified provisions of the new statute or executive

AB 844 — 4 —

order alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program.

Specific references shall be made to chapters, articles, sections, or page numbers alleged to impose a reimbursable state-mandated program.

- (D) If applicable, declarations describing the period of reimbursement and payments received for full reimbursement of costs for a legislatively determined mandate pursuant to Section 17573, and the authority to file a test claim pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (c) of Section 17574.
- (3) (A) The written narrative shall be supported with copies of all of the following:
- (i) The test claim statute that includes the bill number or executive order, alleged to impose or impact a mandate.
- (ii) Relevant portions of state constitutional provisions, federal statutes, and executive orders that may impact the alleged mandate.
- (iii) Administrative decisions and court decisions cited in the narrative.
- (B) State mandate determinations made by the Commission on State Mandates or a predecessor agency and published court decisions on state mandate determinations made by the Commission on State Mandates are exempt from this requirement.
- (4) A test claim shall be signed at the end of the document, under penalty of perjury by the claimant or its authorized representative, with the declaration that the test claim is true and complete to the best of the declarant's personal knowledge, information, or belief. The date of signing, the declarant's title, address, telephone number, facsimile machine telephone number, and electronic mail address shall be included.
- (c) If a completed test claim is not received by the commission within 30 calendar days from the date that an incomplete test claim was returned by the commission, the original test claim filing date may be disallowed, and a new test claim may be accepted on the same statute or executive order.
- (d) In addition, the commission shall determine whether an incorrect reduction claim is complete within 10 days after the date that the incorrect reduction claim is filed. If the commission determines that an incorrect reduction claim is not complete, the commission shall notify the local agency and school district that filed the claim stating the reasons that the claim is not complete. The local agency or school district shall have 30 days to complete

5 AB 844

the claim. The commission shall serve a copy of the complete incorrect reduction claim on the Controller. The Controller shall have no more than 90 days after the date the claim is delivered or mailed to file any rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim. The failure of the Controller to file a rebuttal to an incorrect reduction claim shall not serve to delay the consideration of the claim by the commission.

(e) On and after January 1, 2010, the provisions in this section regarding the procedures for, and the filing of, a test claim shall not apply to a school district.

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

- SEC. 2. Section 17557 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- (a) If the commission determines there are costs mandated by the state pursuant to Section 17551, it shall determine the amount to be subvened to local agencies and school districts for reimbursement. In so doing it shall adopt parameters and guidelines for reimbursement of any claims relating to the statute or executive order. The successful test claimants shall submit proposed parameters and guidelines within 30 days of adoption of a statement of decision on a test claim. At the request of a successful test claimant, the commission may provide for one or more extensions of this 30-day period at any time prior to its adoption of the parameters and guidelines. If proposed parameters and guidelines are not submitted within the 30-day period and the commission has not granted an extension, then the commission shall notify the test claimant that the amount of reimbursement the test claimant is entitled to for the first 12 months of incurred costs will be reduced by 20 percent, unless the test claimant can demonstrate to the commission why an extension of the 30-day period is justified.
- (b) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission may adopt a reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- (c) The parameters and guidelines adopted by the commission shall specify the fiscal years for which local agencies and school districts shall be reimbursed for costs incurred. However, the commission may not specify in the parameters and guidelines any fiscal year for which payment could be provided in the annual Budget Act.
- (d) A local agency, school district, or the state may file a written request with the commission to amend, modify, or supplement the

AB 844 -6 -

parameters or guidelines. The commission may, after public notice and hearing, amend, modify, or supplement the parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment submitted within 90 days of the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, shall apply to all years eligible for reimbursement as defined in the original parameters and guidelines. A parameters and guidelines amendment filed more than 90 days after the claiming deadline for initial claims, as specified in the claiming instructions pursuant to Section 17561, and on or before the claiming deadline following a fiscal year, shall establish reimbursement eligibility for that fiscal vear.

- (e) A test claim shall be submitted on or before June 30 following a fiscal year in order to establish eligibility for reimbursement for that fiscal year. The claimant may thereafter amend the test claim at any time, but before the test claim is set for a hearing, without affecting the original filing date as long as the amendment substantially relates to the original test claim.
- (f) In adopting parameters and guidelines, the commission shall consult with the Department of Finance, the affected state agency, the Controller, the fiscal and policy committees of the Assembly and Senate, the Legislative Analyst, and the claimants to consider a reasonable reimbursement methodology that balances accuracy with simplicity.
- SEC. 3. Section 17557.2 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 17557.2. (a) A reasonable reimbursement methodology developed pursuant to Section 17557.1 or a joint request for early termination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology shall have broad support from a wide range of local agencies or school districts. The test claimant and Department of Finance may demonstrate broad support from a wide range of local agencies or school districts in different ways, including, but not limited to, obtaining endorsement by one or more statewide associations of local agencies or school districts and securing letters of approval from local agencies or school districts.
- (b) No later than 60 days before a commission hearing, the test claimant and Department of Finance shall submit to the commission a joint proposal that shall include all of the following:
 - (1) The draft reasonable reimbursement methodology.

__7__ AB 844

(2) The proposed statewide estimate of costs for the initial claiming period and budget year.

- (3) A description of the steps the test claimant and the Department of Finance undertook to determine the level of support by local agencies—or school districts for the draft reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- (4) An agreement that the reasonable reimbursement methodology developed and approved under this section shall be in effect for a period of five years unless a different term is approved by the commission, or upon submission to the commission of a letter indicating the Department of Finance and test claimant's joint interest in early termination of the reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- (5) An agreement that, at the conclusion of the period established in paragraph (4), the Department of Finance and the test claimant will consider jointly whether amendments to the methodology are necessary.
- (c) The commission shall approve the draft reasonable reimbursement methodology if review of the information submitted pursuant to Section 17557.1 and subdivision (b) of this section demonstrates that the draft reasonable reimbursement methodology and statewide estimate of costs for the initial claiming period and budget year have been developed in accordance with Section 17557.1 and meet the requirements of subdivision (a). The commission thereafter shall adopt the proposed statewide estimate of costs for the initial claiming period and budget year. Statewide cost estimates adopted under this section shall be included in the report to the Legislature required under Section 17600 and shall be reported by the commission to the appropriate Senate and Assembly policy and fiscal committees, the Legislative Analyst, and the Department of Finance not later than 30 days after adoption.
- (d) Unless amendments are proposed pursuant to this subdivision, the reasonable reimbursement methodology approved by the commission pursuant to this section shall expire after either five years, any other term approved by the commission, or upon submission to the commission of a letter indicating the Department of Finance's and test claimant's joint interest in early termination of the reasonable reimbursement methodology.

AB 844 -8 -

(e) The commission shall approve a joint request for early termination of a reasonable reimbursement methodology if the request meets the requirements of subdivision (a). If the commission approves a joint request for early termination, the commission shall notify the test claimant of the duty to submit proposed parameters and guidelines to the commission pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17557.

- (f) At least one year before the expiration of a reasonable reimbursement methodology, the commission shall notify the Department of Finance and the test claimant that they may do one of the following:
- (1) Jointly propose amendments to the reasonable reimbursement methodology by submitting the information described in paragraphs (1), (3), and (4) of subdivision (b), and providing an estimate of the mandate's annual cost for the subsequent budget year.
- (2) Jointly propose that the reasonable reimbursement methodology remain in effect.
- (3) Allow the reasonable reimbursement methodology to expire and notify the commission that the test claimant will submit proposed parameters and guidelines to the commission pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 17557 to replace the reasonable reimbursement methodology.
- (g) The commission shall either approve the continuation of the reasonable reimbursement methodology or approve the jointly proposed amendments to the reasonable reimbursement methodology if the information submitted in accordance with paragraph (1) of subdivision (d) demonstrates that the proposed amendments were developed in accordance with Section 17557.1 and meet the requirements of subdivision (a) of this section.
- SEC. 4. Section 17558 of the Government Code is amended to read:
- 17558. (a) The commission shall submit the adopted parameters and guidelines or a reasonable reimbursement methodology approved pursuant to Section 17557.2 to the Controller. As used in this chapter, a "reasonable reimbursement methodology" approved pursuant to Section 17557.2 includes all amendments to the reasonable reimbursement methodology. When the Legislature declares a legislatively determined mandate in accordance with Section 17573 in which claiming instructions are necessary, the Department of Finance shall notify the Controller.

-9- AB 844

(b) Not later than 60 days after receiving the adopted parameters and guidelines, a reasonable reimbursement methodology from the commission, or notification from the Department of Finance, the Controller shall issue claiming instructions for each mandate that requires state reimbursement, to assist local agencies—and school districts in claiming costs to be reimbursed. In preparing claiming instructions, the Controller shall request assistance from the Department of Finance and may request the assistance of other state agencies. The claiming instructions shall be derived from the test claim decision and the adopted parameters and guidelines, reasonable reimbursement methodology, or statute declaring a legislatively determined mandate.

- (c) The Controller shall, within 60 days after receiving amended parameters and guidelines, an amended reasonable reimbursement methodology from the commission or other information necessitating a revision of the claiming instructions, prepare and issue revised claiming instructions for mandates that require state reimbursement that have been established by commission action pursuant to Section 17557, Section 17557.2, or after any decision or order of the commission pursuant to Section 17559, or after any action by the Legislature pursuant to Section 17573. In preparing revised claiming instructions, the Controller may request the assistance of other state agencies.
- SEC. 5. Article 1.6 (commencing with Section 17574.6) is added to Chapter 4 of Part 7 of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code, to read:

Article 1.6. Mandated Costs Compliance

- 17574.6. (a) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the commission shall determine both of the following with regard to any statute enacted on or after January 1, 2010:
- (1) Whether the statute imposes a mandate on school districts, for which reimbursement is required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.
- (2) Whether there is an annual appropriation made to fund the mandate's annual statewide cost estimate, as adopted by the commission.
- (b) If the commission determines a statute imposes a mandate on school districts, as specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision

AB 844 — 10 —

(a), and there is no annual appropriation to fund the mandate's estimated annual statewide cost, as specified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), the commission shall issue an order authorizing a school district to not comply with those provisions of the statute that impose the mandate.

- (c) If the commission determines a statute imposes a mandate, as specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (a), but there is an annual appropriation made to fund the mandate, as specified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), this determination shall be operative only for five years, and five years from the operative date of that determination, the commission shall review the statute and determine whether an appropriation for those costs has been made to fund the mandate's annual statewide cost estimate, as adopted by the commission. If the commission determines an appropriation to fund that cost estimate has not been made, the commission shall issue an order authorizing a school district to not comply with the requirements of the statute.
- (d) The commission shall submit a copy of all orders and findings made pursuant to this section to the appropriate committees of the Legislature.
- 17574.7. (a) The commission shall examine all statutes that were enacted on or after January 1, 1975, and not subject to Section 17574.7, in accordance with the schedule specified in subdivision (b) and determine both of the following:
- (1) Whether the statute imposes a mandate on school districts for which reimbursement is required by Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.
- (2) Whether there is an annual appropriation that funds the mandate's annual statewide cost estimate, as adopted by the commission.
- (b) If the commission determines a statute imposes a mandate on school districts, as specified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) and there is no annual appropriation, as specified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), the commission shall issue an order allowing a school district to not comply with the requirements of the statute that imposes the mandate, in the following manner:
- (1) Commencing January 1, 2012, the commission shall issue that order regarding compliance with a statute that was enacted before January 1, 1990, but not earlier than January 1, 1975.

-11- AB 844

(2) Commencing January 1, 2014, the commission shall issue that order regarding compliance with a statute that was enacted on or after January 1, 1990, but before January 1, 2000.

- (3) Commencing January 1, 2015, the commission shall issue that order regarding compliance with a statute that was enacted on or after January 1, 2000, but before January 1, 2010.
- (c) If the commission determines that a statute imposes a mandate, pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision (a), but there is an annual appropriation to fund the mandate's estimated annual cost, as adopted by the commission, as specified in paragraph (2) of subdivision (a), this determination shall be operative only for five years, and the commission shall review that determination on a date five years after the date of making that determination. If the commission determines there is no annual appropriation to fund the mandate's annual statewide cost estimate, as adopted by the commission, the commission shall issue an order allowing a school district to not comply with that statute.
- (d) The commission shall submit a copy of all findings and orders made pursuant to this section to the appropriate committees of the Legislature.
- 17574.8. On and after January 1, 2010, a school district may not file a test claim pursuant to this part and shall instead be subject to the procedures specified in this article.